

Tourists cause more harm than good to local people and environment. Do you agree or disagree?

It is widely acceptable that tourism has a number of obvious merits. Despite ~~it contains~~ containing a few ~~deteriorate~~ detrimental effects to the environment. These ideas and my opinion will be discussed in further detail in this essay.

It goes without saying that the most apparent one would be a source of revenue. Not only does it provide jobs opportunity but also it boosts income of local people. For instance in the suburbs of Tehran a lot of local women have been weaving carpets, ~~that carpets~~ which have been sold to the ~~tourism~~ tourists for many years and it leads to ~~reduction~~ improvement of poverty in that region.

Besides this a second plus point could be that this can improve the quality of life for their people because it leads to ~~create~~ creating local services such as hospitals and hotels. Likewise, it boosts the wealth of an area as well as amenities and facilities. Hence, if we attract ~~tourism~~ tourists more, we will have greater welfare.

In the opposition to the first group, it can destroy the habitat of animals and planets and it brings pollution to the environment. For example, when تردد شهری commute increases exhaust fumes from vehicles will enhance grow.

To sum up, if I ~~had been~~ were the government I would have boost tourism. ~~Because~~ because ~~regarding~~ to of economical reasons and the standard of living. Its pros out weight outweigh the drawbacks.